#### Electronic localization-delocalization transition in a "zero-quadratic" model of quantum Hall states

Spenser Talkington, David Bauer, Fenner Harper, Rahul Roy

UCLA Undergraduate Research Week

25 May 2021





## Motivation

- In a magnetic field, electrons occupy states known as Landau Levels (LLs)
  - These states exhibit interesting behaviors such as quantized transport properties
- When interactions with the lattice become significant (e.g. an insulator) electrons occupy non-LL states whose behavior differs from that of LLs
  - Can probe electronic properties that are obscured by the high symmetry of LLs
  - Here: a model where lattice effects eliminate quadratic momentum dependence

$$H \propto p^2 \qquad \mapsto \qquad H \propto p^4$$

• By understanding the behavior of models we can place, frame, and inspire the engineering of materials with novel properties and applications

### Lorentz Force Law

• Charged particles experience forces in *E* and *B* fields

$$\vec{F} = m\vec{a} \qquad \qquad \vec{F} = q\vec{E} + q\vec{v}\times\vec{B}$$

• Cyclotron motion



## Landau Levels

• Select vector potential  $\vec{A} = (0, Bx, 0)$ , then:

$$H = \frac{1}{2m} (p_x^2 + (p_y + eBx)^2)$$

- Quantized angular momentum  $L = n\hbar$ 
  - Equally spaced energy levels

$$E_n = \hbar \frac{eB}{m} (n + \frac{1}{2})$$

• Linear dependence on *B* 





Video from Wikimedia commons

# **Tight-Binding Models**

- We saw a metal-insulator transition
  - Only the occupied LLs are conducting
- Analysis before only considers behavior in an electron gas (metal)
- Tight-binding models consider electrons attached to orbitals of atoms in a solid (insulator)
  - Study behavior across the transition



Hofstadter Model

- Lattice with nearest-neighbor hoppings  $\cdots \vec{a} (0 Rx.0)$ • Select vector potential  $\vec{A} = (0, Bx, 0)$
- Quadratic in momenta to lowest order

$$H = 2t(\cos(K_x) + \cos(K_y))$$
$$\approx -4t + (K_x^2 + K_y^2)$$



• Energy is linear in magnetic field (if the magnetic field is small)

$$E_n = -4t + 2t(eBa^2/\hbar)(n + \frac{1}{2})$$

Hofstadter, PRB 14, 2239 (1976).



• Energy is quadratic in magnetic field (if the magnetic field is small)

$$E_n = -3t + \frac{1}{16}t(eBa^2/\hbar)^2 \left[12(n+\frac{1}{2})^2 + 3\right]$$

# How to Study This Phase Transition?

- Metal insulator phase transition
- Electrons are exponentially localized around position  $r_0$

 $\langle \psi(r_0) | \psi(r) \rangle \propto e^{-|r-r_0|/\xi}$ 

- 'Localization length' parameter  $\xi$  determines how metallic/insulating
- Calculate  $\xi$  as a function of B, or as a function of Fermi energy
  - Transfer matrices
  - Lyapunov exponents
- Describe how  $\xi$  varies around phase transition

Pichard and Sarma, JPC **14**, 127 (1981) MacKinnon and Kramer, PRL **47**, 1546 (1981)

## Localization Length Dependence on Energy

- Infinite sample:
- $\xi_{\infty}(E) \propto |E E_c|^{-\nu}$
- Finite sample:

 $\frac{W}{\xi_W(E)} \approx a_0 + a_2 (E - E_c)^2 W^{2/\nu}$ 

• Fit  $a_0$ ,  $a_2$ ,  $\nu$  from data



## Results

- Critical exponent v of the phase transition is independent of NNN hopping strength
- Exponent is in good agreement with Hofstadter model and continuum model (Chern number)

Obuse, et al, PRL **109**, 206804 (2012) Puschmann, et al, PRB **99**, 121301 (2019) Zhu, et al, PRB **99**, 024205 (2019)



## Conclusion

- Electrons exhibit a wide variety of behaviors, some of which are leveraged to enable device technologies
- Here we consider electrons in a magnetic field subject to lattice effects
  - H, lattice effects eliminate the quadratic dependence of the Hamiltonian
- These zero quadratic states can be used in fractional quantum Hall physics as basis states for quasipartical "droplets", which may be braided to encode quantum information
  - These states enable the geometrical degree of freedom of the droplets to be leveraged, and which could lead to greater control of the encoded information
- We find that the phase transitions between zero quadratic states are indistinguishable from those of quadratic states

## Acknowledgements

- We thank our group members Dominic Reiss, Pratik Sathe, Xu Liu, and Adrian Culver
- ST is grateful to the UCLA Undergraduate Research Center and the Goldwater Foundation for their support and funding

## Form of the *K* operators

In the presence of the magnetic field, the naïve translation operators do not transform correctly under gauge transformations [2], and we must accompany translations by compensatory gauge transformations. The translation operators with the appropriate transformation properties are a sum over lattice sites

$$T_a = \sum_m e^{i\theta_a(m)} c^{\dagger}_{m+e_a} c_m.$$
(1)

where the phases  $e^{i\theta_a(m)}$  satisfy

$$\theta_1(m) + \theta_2(m+e_1) - \theta_1(m+e_2) - \theta_2(m) = \phi.$$
 (2)

The components of T do not commute, but satisfy

$$T_x T_y = \exp(i\phi) T_y T_x. \tag{3}$$

The lattice translation operators  $T_a$  are unitary, so we can write them in terms of Hermitian generators  $T_a = \exp(iK_a)$ . The  $K_a$  are the lattice analogues of the covariant momentum operators  $\pi_a$ , and we will sometimes call them momenta for brevity. These operators have the commutator

$$[K_x, K_y] = \phi. \tag{4}$$

## Hall Effect

- Two dimensional sample
- With electric and magnetic fields  $\vec{E} = E_0 \hat{x}$   $\vec{B} = B_0 \hat{z}$
- Classical Limit (small B, large T)  $\rho_{xy} = -$
- $\rho_{xy} = \frac{1}{ne}$  Quantum Limit (large B, small T)

$$\rho_{xy} = \frac{h}{\nu e^2}$$



Image from Wikimedia Commons

Why 
$$t_2 = -1/4$$

As in Section II A, we write this in terms of the Hermitian generators of lattice translations,

$$H_{\rm TB} = -2\left(\cos(K_x) + \cos(K_y)\right) - 2t_2\left(\cos(2K_x) + \cos(2K_y)\right)$$
(19)

Replacing the cosine terms by their Taylor expansion, the terms lowest-order in the momenta are

$$H_{\rm TB} = -4 - 4t_2 + (1 + 4t_2) \left(K_x^2 + K_y^2\right) \\ - \left(\frac{1}{12} + \frac{4}{3}t_2\right) \left(K_x^4 + K_y^4\right) + \dots$$
(20)  
$$1 + 4t_2 = 0$$
  
if  $t_2 = -1/4$ 

## **Transfer Matrices**

• Iteratively solve Schrödinger Equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \ell+2\\ \ell+1\\ \ell\\ \ell-1 \end{pmatrix} = A_{\ell} \begin{pmatrix} \ell+1\\ \ell\\ \ell-1\\ \ell-2 \end{pmatrix}$$

#### • Use the matrix

Rearranging, we find that

$$A_{\ell} = \begin{pmatrix} (t_1/t_2)1 & (H_{\ell} - E1)/t_2 & (t_1/t_2)1 & 1\\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(74)

Where the intra-layer Hamiltonian is, where  $m = (\ell, w)$ ,

$$\bar{H}_{\ell} = \left(\sum_{w} \epsilon_{\ell,w} a_{\ell,w}^{\dagger} a_{\ell,w}\right) - t_1 (T_{y,\ell} + T_{y,\ell}^{\dagger}) - t_2 (T_{y,\ell}^2 + T_{y,\ell}^{\dagger 2}),$$
(72)

with the intra-layer translation operators

$$T_{y,\ell} = \sum_{w} e^{-i(2\pi\phi/\phi_0)\ell} a^{\dagger}_{\ell,w+1} a_{\ell,w}.$$
 (73)

### Localization Length

#### • QR Decomposition (eigenvalues)

Thus, the component of the wavefunction in the last layer is given in terms of the wavefunction in the first layer as [57-60]

$$|L\rangle = \left(\prod_{\ell=1}^{L} A_{\ell}\right)|1\rangle = \left(Q_{L}\prod_{\ell=1}^{L} R_{\ell}\right)|1\rangle, \qquad (75)$$

#### Localization length from most conductive state (via Lyapunov Exponent)

Now, the localization-length is related to the the transfer matrix through the set of Lyapunov exponents  $\gamma_w$  [57–60]:

$$\xi = \frac{1}{\min_{w} |\gamma_w|}.\tag{76}$$

Where Lyapunov exponents are given by the sum of the eigenvalues of  $A_{\ell}$  (diagonal elements of  $R_{\ell}$ ) scaled by the logarithm,

$$\gamma_w = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{\ell=1}^{L} \ln |R_\ell^{(w,w)}|.$$
(77)